UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,703	06/20/2006	Woo-Chul Jung	1455-061783	3491
	7590 06/12/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE			AVILA, STEPHEN P	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,703	JUNG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Avila	3617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the Expression in th	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-17 and 19-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-17 and 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leffler et al in view of McClintock and McCallum and Tsuchikawa. Leffler et al disclose the basic claimed structure and method of treating ballast water in a ship including a ballast tank26, an electrolyzer 16 and a pump. Not disclosed by Leffler et al is a second pump and an ac/dc controller. McClintock teaches pumps P. McCallum teaches a controller to provide NaOCI in various densities (note the Abstract which discloses a regular and emergency system). Tsuchikawa teaches a DC voltage supply to an electrolyzer and density control with sensors (note column 3, line 36, and column 3, last paragraph, for example). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with multiple pumps as taught by McClintock for improved flow and improved chlorination and to provide an electrolysis controller as taught by McCallum for improved user control of the system. Additionally, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the device of Leffler et al with a DC voltage supply to the electrolyzer as taught by Tsuchikawa for improved production. Further it would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to form the ballast tank in the lower portion of the ship for improved stability, to use a solenoid valve for reliability and to form the tank of a cylindrical shape for low cost

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

and light weight. The combination combines known features to achieve predictable results.

3. Claims 1-7, 9, and 10 are allowed.

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-17 and 19-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-6678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 AM to 530 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,703 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

/Stephen Avila/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3617